# **General Atomics Electromagnetic Systems Group**

9 October 2023

Destruction of Organic and PFAS Wastes using Supercritical Water Oxidation

Non-Combustion Technologies for the treatment of POPs: Challenges and lessons learned from PCBs and perspectives for PFAS

Presented at the 5<sup>th</sup> Seminar POPs Columbia

John Follin, GA EMS Director of Strategic Development, Supercritical Water Oxidation



# **General Atomics**

- LOCATION: San Diego, California
- FOUNDED: 1955
- STATUS: Privately held corporation



John Follin

Director

- **Strategic Development / Business Development**
- **Demilitarization and Chemical Waste Destruction**

GA is a recognized world leader in high-technology research, design, and production for industry and government in the U.S. and overseas in four continents with over 15,000 employees



# **General Atomics Organization**



- Nuclear Fission
- Inertial Confinement
- Nuclear Fusion







- Predator
- Grey Eagle
- Ground Services
- Mission Related Activities





- Electromagnetic Launching
- Lasers
- Satellites



- Railgun
- Radiation Monitoring
- Gulftronics
- Demil / iSCWO / Maglev





#### Over 30 Years and \$250M Invested in iSCWO





# What is Supercritical Water?



Supercritical water is water that is heated and pressurized above its thermodynamic critical point of 374°C and 221 bar

We operate at 650°C and 234 Bar to ensure complete oxidation of wastes



# Breaking the PFAS Contamination Cycle for Good

#### **iSCWO** Process



- Perfect for onsite waste destruction
- Cost competitive with other onsite destruction technologies
- No post-treatment required
- No high emissions to deal with
- No hazardous by-products to store, transport, or dispose of
- Waste stream testing at GA

Unique reactor technology provides great reliability and continuous destruction throughput





### Why are our Customers Interested in iSCWO?

Rarely is there any motivation by waste generators or waste consolidators to implement new technologies that enhance the environment (e.g., the right thing to do)

The issues that generate interest in onsite waste treatment process such as iSCWO are:

- Can't ship the waste (legal or transportation issues)
- Can't treat the waste after shipment (environmental or process issues)
- Shipping and/or treatment costs very high (and will get higher)
- Fines have or will be assessed by EPA (state or federal)
- Threats of incarceration due to known violations
- Lawsuits against continued waste generation or onsite waste storage
- Companies that don't have the expertise to dispose of the waste



# iSCWO Release Streams Meet Environmental Requirements





Waste Feed	Gas Release	Liquid Release
Hydro Carbon	O <sub>2</sub> CO <sub>2</sub> Nitrogen Water vapor Organic free	Organic-free water Neutral pH
Halogens		Some salts (depending on chemical feed)
Metals		Metallic oxides particles (depending on chemical feed)
-ites, -ates O <sub>2</sub> O <sub>3</sub>		Molecular oxygen can reduce the amount of cfm needed by air compressor

All Liquid Releases Designed for Discharge Directly to a Public Owned Treatment Works (POTW)



# iSCWO Operational Conditions



#### **System Operations**

- Autogenic conditions 2200 BTU/Ibm (5117 KJ/kg or 1223 kcal/kg or 320 g/L COD)
- Maximum design rate of a 3gpm iSCWO system with a liquid waste feed at autogenic conditions is 36,000 lbs per day or 16.4 metric tons/day
- Most waste slurry applications range between 20,000 lbs to 30,000 lbs per day (9.1 MT/day to 13.7 MT/day)
- Pumping viscosity ranges from 0.3 to 8000 mPa-s or Centipoise (CP)

# ABOVE DEPENDS ON MATERIAL, CONCENTRATION, and HEAT CONTENT



temperature and pressure

#### Scalable, Compact Footprint for Easy On-site Installation



Transportable iSCWO system



Fixed iSCWO system

- Available as fixed or transportable units for convenient on-site waste destruction without the need for additional infrastructure.
- Single or multiple iSCWO systems operating in parallel can be configured to support unique installation, waste, and throughput requirements.



# **iSCWO** in Industrial Environments

iSCWO destroys the following:

- PFAS and other fluorinated organic compounds
- Fire retardant materials
- Expired or obsolete pesticides, fertilizers, and fungicides
- Contaminated water (wastewater cleanup)
- Polychlorinated biphenyls (PCBs)
- Organic cleaning solutions and antifreeze
- Energetic Materials (explosives or propellants)

- Petroleum, oils, lubricants and/or petrochemical waste
- Sewage sludge/animal waste products
- Pharmaceutical waste
- Plastic waste
- Expired or obsolete paints
- Expired or obsolete pesticides
- Materials not suitable for normal transportation or disposal



iSCWO destroys 200+ types of hazardous and non-hazardous waste

iSCWO destroys PFAS with co-contaminants simultaneously with equal efficiency



### Micronized Feed for Slurry Feeding into iSCWO



Wood



Carbon



**Mining Ore** 



**Plastics/Rubber** 



**Resin Beads** 



Slurry



# Multiple Options for iSCWO Waste Feed

- Liquid feed
  - Generated by in-line process or liquids stored onsite
  - Pumped directly into the iSCWO system
- Slurry Feed
  - Powdered solids (e.g., pesticides or pharmaceuticals) in water
  - Ground-up solids (resins or GAC) in water solution
  - Size-reduced solids pumped directly into the iSCWO system
- Reverse Osmosis (RO)
  - Large Amounts of Contaminated Water
  - RO waste stream (stew) is pumped into the iSCWO system
- Thermal Desorption (TD)
  - Contaminated Soil Cleanup (e.g., PCB)
  - TD waste stream (scum) is pumped into the iSCWO system
- Gaseous Diffusion (GD)
  - Contaminated Hardened Material
  - GD waste stream is condensed and pumped into iSCWO











# GA iSCWO Demonstration System – No R&D



iSCWO System used for different chemical waste treatment tests

Dedicated iSCWO Test Facility that customers can observe tests

# No R&D – Just confirmatory tests for both process and environmental regulatory permits

System arrangement allows for easy tests with data analysis



# Some Slurry Organic Destruction Process Results\*

Material	Matrix	Feed Concentration	Throughput (gallon/min)	Quantity processed (gallons)	Destruction Efficiency
Mining ore with gold	Slurry	10% solids	1.1	420	<b>99</b> %
Plastics	Slurry	10% solids	1.1	950	<b>99</b> %
Food waste	Slurry	10% solids	1.1	550	<b>99</b> %
Ground resin beads	Liquid	15% solids	2.2	1060	99.9%
Whole resin beads	Slurry	10% solids	1.5	120	99.9%
Tear Gas	Slurry	10% Solids	1.6	425	99.99%
Leachate from Landfill	Liquid	Thick material	2.2	20	99.999%
Biosolids	Slurry	10% solids	2	730	Test in Oct

\*Note – the feed concentration, rates, and quantities were defined by the customer







Whole Resin Beads – Effluent

Material	Matrix	Feed Concentration	Throughput (gallon/min)	Quantity processed (gallons)	Destruction Efficiency
CCl <sub>4</sub> / CS <sub>2</sub>	Liquid	100%	0.052	107	99.999%
Tear Gas	Slurry	10% solids	1.6	425	99.99%
1, <b>4-Dioxane</b>	Liquid	0.4%	1.6	107	<b>99.9</b> %
Bromine-Polymer Wash	Liquid	1%	1.1	140	99.99%

\*Note – the feed concentration, rates, and quantities were defined by the customer



## Some Organic Destruction Process Results\*

Material	Matrix	Feed Concentratio n	Throughput (gallon/min)	Quantity processed (gallons)	Destruction Efficiency
Energetics Waste (NH <sub>4</sub> NO <sub>3</sub> )	Liquid	25%	2.5	1,060	99.99%
Organics containing Nal and CsCL	Slurry	10%	1.2	950	<b>99</b> %
EDTA with hydrazine	Liquid	15%	3.9	1,075	99.99%
Corrosive Solvent Waste	Liquid	15%	2.2	400	99.9%
Cresylate	Thick Liquid	20%	1 – ntrlzd 0.5 – nt ntrlzd	215	<b>99.99</b> %
PBX-9502 (explosives)	Slurry	20%	2	3,000	99.99%

\*Note – the feed concentration, rates, and quantities were defined by the customer



# **PFAS iSCWO Test Analysis**



- With a typical feed of PFAS, iSCWO breaks the PFAS into
  - $\succ$  Carbon which combines with oxygen to make CO<sub>2</sub>
  - Fluorine which is stripped off of the PFAS molecule and combines with hydrogen to make HF
  - Oxygen which combines with carbon, be consumed by the fuel and generates H<sub>2</sub>O and/or CO<sub>2</sub>, or emitted as excess oxygen
- Immediately at the reactor exit, the hot liquid will be quenched with sodium hydroxide (a base) which neutralizes the HF (an acid) to make a salt which is sodium fluoride (NaF).



# **PFAS Test Results**

#### Destruction of PFAS efficiency: >99.99% for multiple tests

Materials Tested for DRE Calculations Include:

- Aqueous Fire Fighting Foam (AFFF)
- ➢ 6:2 fluorotelomer sulfonic acid (6:2 FTS)
- Perfluorooctanesulfonic acid (PFOS)
- Integrated Derived Waste (IDW)



**Published Reports** 

Recorded Data

Test	Total Processed	Dilution of Waste	PFAS Feed	Source of Material	Destruction Efficiency
Test 1 AFFF	253 gal	1000x	~30 ppm	Lightwater	99.9996%
Test 2 AFFF	252 gal	1000x	~30 ppm	Lightwater	99.9996%
6:2 FTS	350 gal	0x	210 ppb	Fire pit wash	99.9929%
PFOS	350 gal	0x	1700 ppt	IDW	Non-detect
Test 1 AFFF	310 gal	159x	~194 ppm	Aer-O-Water	~99.999%
Test 2 AFFF	302 gal	152x	~199 ppm	Aer-O-Water	~99.999%
Test 3 AFFF	310 gal	34x	~974 ppm	Aer-O-Water	~99.999%



### Targeted PFAS Summary with Fluoride Concentration Analysis

PFAS average DRE > 99.99% Test C (highest influent conc.) DRE > 99.999%

- Targeted 21 PFAS in AFFF waste feed
- DRE does not fully reflect iSCWO's capabilities
  - Non-detect PFAS amounts default to method limits
  - PFAS present in San Diego tap water used to quench liquid effluent

Test	Influent Sum of Targeted PFAS (ppt)	Effluent Sum of Targeted PFAS (ppt)	%DRE Targeted PFAS	Influent Fluoride* (ppm)	Effluent Fluoride <b>*</b> (ppm)	Theoretical fluoride from targeted PFAS* (ppm)	Theoretical PFAS from Fluoride* (ppm)
Α	3,128,300	51.56	99.9984	0.81	173.61	1.86	289.35
В	3,294,600	82.03	99.9975	0.78	235.29	1.96	392.16
С	13,640,000	30.32	99.9998	1.5	482.21	8.07	803.68
Average DRE: 99.9985							
PFAS remaining - PFBA, 6:2 FTS, and sulfonates (C4-8) - undetermined whether from water or system							
Effluent values include dilution factor of about 1.5 due to higher liquid flow from separator (~12 Lpm out vs ~8 Lpm in)							
Effluent values from 120 minutes sampled							

\* Assumes 60% Fluorine content in associated targeted PFAS

\* ~0.8 ppm fluoride typical in SD tap water

# Air emissions from the iSCWO system would be considered clean

#### VOCs detected are not fluorinated

Most SCWO system and incinerator gas emissions have not been tested for PFAS release – GA's iSCWO has



# Ongoing iSCWO Test Programs for CY 2023

- US Army Engineer Research and Development Center (ERDC) Army Corps of Engineers (ACE) PFAS and AFFF Tests
  - Two-year project
  - PFAS destruction tests at GA
  - Deploy a system at a Government site for PFAS destruction
- Defense Innovation Unit for PFAS and AFF Destruction
  - Two-year project
  - Deploy a system at a Clean Earth facility
- Navy GAC project
- Air Force RO project
- Incentive energetics destruction project

21





US Army Corps of Engineers®





#### Conclusions



- Simple to operate, automated and maintain
- iSCWO is an excellent waste destruction process suitable for <u>onsite</u> treatment of organic wastes at an affordable cost
- iSCWO is fully capable of destroying a wide range of pumpable hazardous waste including AFFF / PFAS to strict environmental standards
  - Pure PFAS streams / diluted PFAS streams
  - Leachates
  - Biosolids
  - Soils after proper preparation
  - GAC, resin and filtration medias
- Mobility for multi-site waste destruction System easy to setup
- iSCWO systems use air rather than liquid oxygen which makes the processing site easier to permit and eliminates a number of safety issues related to LOX systems
- No pollution abatement system necessary to meet environmental regulations
- GA has 35 years experience with SCWO systems no R&D
- GA provides testing capability know before you buy



# **Contact Information**

# Thank you very much for your time!

John Follin Director, Strategic Development / Business Development Demilitarization and Chemical Waste Destruction General Atomics Electromagnetic Systems Group 1 858 964 6805 (office) John.Follin@ga.com







